

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/008,501	12/06/2001	Paul R. Dodge	22130.0003U2	5337	
35856	7590 12/13/2005		EXAMINER		
SMITH FROHWEIN TEMPEL GREENLEE BLAHA, LLC			FREAY, CHARLES GRANT		
P.O. BOX 88	148		ART UNIT		
ATLANTA,	ATLANTA, GA 30356			PAPER NUMBER	
•			3746		

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

$\frown$	. 0
$\checkmark$	۲
0	ı

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/008,501	DODGE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Charles G. Freay	3746		

Advisory Action	10/000,501	DODOL ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Charles G. Freay	3746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A			
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejection in the FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exampler 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
<ul> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ul>	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.	
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			,
<ul> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ul>		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		II be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 2</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affidat	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a l).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		Charles o Freay Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3746	
	·		_

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment to the claims would require a new declaration including a statement such as "all errors being corrected in the reissue application up to the time of the filing of the oath or declaration arose without any deceptive intention on the part of the applicant" (see 37 CFR 1.175(a) (2)). If the propsed amendment to claim 1 were entered a new rejection of the claims based upon the declaration would be required. If the proposed amendment to claim 1 were presented with a declaration including the above statement were presented together they would be favorably considered.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With regards to the applicant's arguments that the preliminary amendment filed with the original Reissue Application Declaration sets forth specifically the error being corrected, the examiner notes that the Reissue Declaration must specifically set forth the error being corrected.

Continuation of 13. Other: The Power of Attorneys filed October 6, 2005 have been accepted. The proposed drawings received October 6, 2005 are correct. If the same drawings were submitted along with a declaration including the statement noted above in item 3 the drawings would be favorably considered.